- 1			
1	DAVID G. SPIVAK (SBN 179684)		
	david@spivaklaw.com		
2	MARALLE MESSRELIAN (SBN 316974)		
3	maralle@spivaklaw.com		
4	THE SPIVAK LAW FIRM		
	16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203		
5	Encino, CA 91436		
6	Telephone: (213) 725-9094 Facsimile: (213) 634-2485		
7	1 acsimic. (213) 034-2403		
CVC	Attorneys for Plaintiff,		
8	SHELLY LOUANGAMATH, and all others similarly situated		
9		0.5)	
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	***************************************		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
13	SHELLY LOUANGAMATH, on behalf	Case No.: 18-C	V-03634-JST
3000	of herself, and all others similarly	IDD OD COEDI	
14	situated, and as an "aggrieved employee"	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF	
15	on behalf of other "aggrieved employees" under the Labor Code Private Attorneys	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
16	General Act of 2004,	CLASS ACTIO	N SETTLEMENT
1.7	General rect of 2004,	Action filed:	April 20, 2018
17	Plaintiff(s),	Hearing Date:	November 16, 2021
18	33 (7/2	Hearing Time:	
19	Vs.	Hearing Court:	6, Hon. Jon. S. Tigar
20	THE SPECTRANETICS		
21	CORPORATION d.b.a. SPNC, INC., a		
-tour	Delaware corporation; and DOES 1		
22	through 50, inclusive,		
23	~ ~ ~		
24	Defendant(s).		
25	2		
26			
27			

ADDITIONAL ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

ii

² WALTER HAINES (SBN 71075)

whaines@uelglaw.com

UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP

4276 Katella Ave., #301

Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Telephone: (562) 256-1047 Facsimile: (562) 256-1006

7

6

1

3

4

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

SPIVAK LAW8

Louangamath v.The Spectranetics Corp.

Proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213) 725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax SpivakLaw.com SPIVAK LAW Plaintiff SHELLY LOUANGAMATH's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of a Class Action Settlement was scheduled for hearing before the Court on November 16, 2021 at 2:00 p.m., before the Honorable Jon S. Tigar, Judge presiding. The Court having considered the papers submitted in support of the motion, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Class based upon the terms set forth in the "Second Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release of Claims (the "Amended Settlement") filed herewith. All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Amended Settlement. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement are fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final hearing and final approval by this Court.
- 2. For purposes of this Order, the "Class Members" are defined as follows: All current and former hourly-paid, non-exempt employees employed in Fremont North or Fremont South facilities by the Defendant or its predecessor companies as non-exempt hourly employees working as assemblers or in comparable positions, at any time during the Class Period. DS ¶ 12, Amended Settlement ¶ I(F) (collectively referred to as the "Settlement Class"). The "Class Period" means the period from April 20, 2014 through the date of the Court's order preliminarily approving the Settlement. DS ¶ 12, Amended Settlement ¶ I(G).
- 3. The Court hereby preliminarily finds that the Settlement was the product of serious, informed, non-collusive negotiations conducted at arm's length by the Parties. In making this preliminary finding, the Court considered the nature of the claims set forth in the pleadings, the amounts and kinds of benefits which shall be paid pursuant to the Settlement, the allocation of Settlement proceeds to the Settlement Class, and the fact that the Settlement represents a compromise of the Parties' respective positions. The Court further preliminarily finds that the terms of

2

5

9

12 13

11

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

21

2324

25



Employee Rights Attorneys

16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436

(213) 725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax the Settlement have no obvious deficiencies and do not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual Class member. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement was entered in good faith.

4. The Court finds that the dates set forth in the Amended Settlement for

- 4. The Court finds that the dates set forth in the Amended Settlement for mailing and distribution of the Notice of Class Action Settlement meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto, and directs the mailing of the Notice of Class Action Settlement by first class mail to the Settlement Class as set forth in the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:
- a. Within fourteen (14) calendar days after the preliminary approval date and after Defendant receives sufficient and reasonable assurance from the Settlement Administrator about the confidentiality of Class Data, Defendant shall provide Settlement Administrator with Class Data for the purposes set forth in the Settlement;
- b. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of receiving the Class Data, Settlement Administrator will perform a search based on the National Change of Address Database to cross check or update addresses and mail copies of the Notice of Class Action Settlement to all Class Members via regular First Class U.S. Mail and any Notice of Class Action Settlement returned to the Settlement Administrator as non-delivered on or before the Response Deadline shall be re-mailed to its forwarding address;
- c. If no forwarding address is provided, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly attempt to determine a correct address by lawful use of skip-tracing, or other search using the name, address and/or Social Security number of the Class Member involved, and shall then perform a re-mailing, if another mailing address is identified by the Settlement Administrator;
 - d. In addition, if any Notices of Class Action Settlement, which are

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

19

2021

23

25



addressed to the Class Members who are currently employed by Defendant, are returned to the Settlement Administrator as non-delivered and no forwarding address is provided, the Settlement Administrator shall notify the Defendant and Defendant shall request that the currently employed Class Member to provide a corrected address and transmit to the Settlement Administrator any corrected address provided by the Class Member;

- e. Class Member(s) who wish to exclude themselves must submit a written Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator by the Response Deadline;
- f. Class Member(s) who wish to object to the Settlement must mail a written statement of objection to the Settlement Administrator by the Response Deadline in accordance with the Settlement.
- g. Class Member(s) who wish to dispute the number of Qualified Workweeks must mail a written statement explaining their disagreement to the Settlement Administrator by the Response Deadline in accordance with the Settlement.
- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action Settlement. The Court also approves the procedure for members of the Settlement Class to object to the Settlement set forth in the Notice of Class Action Settlement.
- The Court approves, for settlement purposes only, David Spivak of The Spivak Law Firm and Walter Haines of United Employees Law Group as Class Counsel.
- The Court approves, for settlement purposes only, Shelly Louangamath as the Class Representative.
 - 8. The Court approves CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 9. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held at 2:00 p.m. on February 16, 2023 in Courtroom 6 of the United States District Court, Northern District of California, located at 1301 Clay St., Oakland, CA 94612, to consider the

fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of the proposed Settlement preliminarily approved by this Order, and to consider the application of Class Counsel for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred and the Class Representative Service Award. All briefs and materials in support of the Motion for an Order of Final Approval and Judgment and Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs shall be filed twenty-eight days before the Final Approval Hearing.

10. If for any reason the Court does not execute and file an Order of Final Approval and Judgment, or if the Effective Date, as defined in the Amended Settlement, does not occur for any reason, the proposed Settlement that is the subject of this Order, and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation, as more specifically set forth in the Settlement.

11. Pending further Order of this Court, all proceedings in this matter except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement are hereby stayed.

4

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: August 17, 2022

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Honorable Jon S. Tigar
Judge of United States District Court,
Northern District of California



Employee Rights Attorneys

16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436

(213) 725-9094 Tel 213) 634-2485 Fax SpivakLaw.com